Mathematical Foundations of Infinite-Dimensional Statistical Models

Chap.1

Seonghyeon Kim

2018.06.22

Introduction

Introduction

 $Y \sim P_f, \ \{P_f \colon f \in \mathcal{F}\}$

The problem of statistical inference on f, can be divided into three intimately connected problems.

- Estimate the parameter f by an estimator T(Y).
- Test hypotheses on f based on test functions $\Psi(Y)$.
- Construct confidence sets C(Y) that contain f with high probability.

1.1 Statistical Sampling Models

1.1 Statistical Sampling Models

 $\begin{array}{l} X: \text{ a random experiment with associated sample space } \mathcal{X}. \\ \mathcal{A}: \text{ a } \sigma-field \text{ of subsets of } \mathcal{X}. \\ (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{A}): \text{ measurable space} \\ P: \text{ probability measure on } \mathcal{A}. \\ X_1, \ldots, X_n: \text{ i.i.d. copies from } X \\ P^n = \otimes_{i=1}^n P: \text{ joint distribution of the } X_1, \ldots, X_n \end{array}$

- The goal is to recover *P* from the *n* observations.
- Classical statistics has been concerned mostly with models where *P* is explicitly parameterised by a finite-dimensional parameter.
- In this book, we will follow the often more realistic assumption that no such parametric assumptions are made on *P*.

1.1.1 Nonparametric Models for Probability Measures

Total variation metric

$$||P-Q||_{TV} = \sup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} |P(A) - Q(A)|$$

Bounded Lipschitz metric

 ${\mathcal X}$ is endowed with a metric d

$$\beta_{(\mathcal{X},d)}(P,Q) = \sup_{f \in BL(1)} \left| \int_{\mathcal{X}} f(dP - dQ) \right|, \text{ where}$$
$$BL(M) = \left\{ f \colon \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}, \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} |f(x)| + \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|} \le M \right\}, \ 0 < M < \infty$$

1.1.1 Nonparametric Models for Probability Measures

Supremum-norm metric (Kolmogorov distance)

$$||F_P - F_Q||_{\infty} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |F_P(x) - F_Q(x)|$$

L^1 -distance

$$||f_P - f_Q||_1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f_P(x) - f_Q(x)| dx$$

1.1.1 Nonparametric Models for Probability Measures

- Class of probability densities is more complex than the class of probability-distribution functions.
- we can anticipate that estimating a probability density is harder than estimating the distribution function.
- Instead of P, a particular functional $\Phi(P)$ may be the parameter of statistical interest
- Proving closeness of T to P in some strong loss function then gives access to 'many' continuous functionals Φ for which $\Phi(T)$ will be close to $\Phi(P)$.

1.1.2 Indirect Observations

Indirect Observations

$$X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} P_X$$

$$\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} P_\epsilon$$

$$Y_i = X_i + \epsilon_i, \ i = 1, \dots, n$$

$$P_Y = P_X * P_\epsilon$$

- The observer may have very concrete knowledge of the source of the error.
- It is also known as the deconvolution model because one wishes to deconvolve P_{ϵ} .

1.2 Gaussian Models

1.2.1 Basic Ideas of Regression

Regression model

$$Y_i = f(x_i) + \epsilon_i, \ i = 1, \ldots, n$$

Standard Gaussian linear model

$$f(x) = x_1\theta_i + \dots + x_p\theta_p$$
$$Y_i = f(x_i) + \epsilon_i \equiv \sum_{j=1}^p x_{ij}\theta_j + \epsilon_i, \ i = 1, \dots, n$$
$$\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} N(0, \sigma^2)$$

1.2.1 Basic Ideas of Regression

- If $E(\epsilon_i) \neq 0$, this could be accommodated in the functional model by adding a constant $x_{10} = \cdots = x_{n0} = 1$
- By the CLT, $\epsilon_i = \sum_k \epsilon_{ik}$ should be approximately normally distributed, regardless of the actual distribution of the ϵ_{ik} .
- The assumption that the function f is linear is in principle quite arbitrary.

Nonparametric regression model with equally spaced design on [0, 1]

$$Y_i = f(x_i) + \epsilon_i, \ x_i = \frac{i}{n}, \ \epsilon_i \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} N(0, \sigma^2), \ i = 1, \dots, n$$

- The assumption that the x_i are equally spaced is important for the theory that will follow.
- It may not be reasonable to assume that *f* has any specific properties other than that it is a continuous or a differentiable function.
- Even if we would assume that *f* has infinitely many continuous derivatives the set of all such f would be infinite dimensional.

Nonparametric regression model with equally spaced design on [0, 1]

$$Y_i = f(x_i) + \epsilon_i, \ x_i = \frac{i}{n}, \ \epsilon_i \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} N(0, \sigma^2), \ i = 1, \dots, n$$

- The assumption that the x_i are equally spaced is important for the theory that will follow.
- It may not be reasonable to assume that *f* has any specific properties other than that it is a continuous or a differentiable function.
- Even if we would assume that *f* has infinitely many continuous derivatives the set of all such f would be infinite dimensional.

Gaussian White Noise Model

$$dY(t) \equiv dY_f^{(n)}(t) = f(t)dt + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}dW(t), t \in [0,1], n \in \mathbb{N},$$

dW is a standard Gaussian white noise process.

$$g \mapsto \int_0^1 g(t) dY^{(n)}(t) \equiv \mathbb{Y}_f^{(n)}(g) \sim N\left(\langle f, g \rangle, \frac{||g||_2^2}{n}\right)$$
$$g \mapsto \int_0^1 g(t) dW(t) \equiv \mathbb{W}(g) \sim N\left(0, ||g||_2^2\right), g \in L^2([0, 1])$$

- \mathbb{W} and $\mathbb{Y}^{(n)}$ define Gaussian processes on L^2 .
- For any finite set of orthonormal vectors {e_k} ⊂ L², {W(e_k)} is a multivariate standard normal variable.

Gaussian Sequence Space Model

$$Y_k \equiv Y_{f,k}^{(n)} = \langle f, e_k \rangle + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} g_k, k \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $\{e_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is orthonormal basis of L^2 and g_k are i.i.d. of law $\mathbb{W}(e_k) \sim N(0, ||e_k||_2^2) = N(0, 1)$

- $\{e_k\}$ realise an isometry between L^2 and l^2 through the mapping $f\mapsto\{\langle f,e_k\rangle\}$
- Gaussian White Noise Model and Gaussian Sequence Space Model are equivalent to each other.

The Le Cam Distance of Statistical Experiments

$$\mathcal{E}^{(i)} = (\mathcal{Y}_i, \mathcal{P}_f^{(i)}), \ i = 1, 2$$

 \mathcal{Y}_i : sample space

- $P_f^{(i)}$: probability measure defined on \mathcal{Y}_i
- \mathcal{T} : measurable space of decision rules. $\mathcal{T}^{(i)}(Y^{(i)}) \in \mathcal{T}$
- $L:\mathcal{F}\times\mathcal{T}\mapsto [0,\infty)$: loss function measuring the performance

$$\begin{aligned} |L| &= \sup\{L(f, T) : f \in \mathcal{F}, T \in \mathcal{T}\}\\ R^{(i)}(f, T^{(i)}, L) &= \int_{\mathcal{Y}_i} L(f, T^{(i)}(Y^{(i)})) dP_f^{(i)} \end{aligned}$$

The Le Cam Distance of Statistical Experiments(Conti.)

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{E}^{(1)}, \mathcal{E}^{(2)}) \equiv \max \left[\sup_{T^{(2)}} \inf_{f,L:|L|=1} \sup_{f,L:|L|=1} |R^{(1)}(f, T^{(1)}, L) - R^{(2)}(f, T^{(2)}, L)|, \right]$$

$$\sup_{T^{(1)}} \inf_{T^{(2)}} \sup_{f,L:|L|=1} |R^{(1)}(f, T^{(1)}, L) - R^{(2)}(f, T^{(2)}, L)| \right]$$

Proposition 1

If
$$\mathcal{Y}^{(1)} = \mathcal{Y}^{(2)} = \mathcal{Y}$$
 and $P_f^{(1)}, P_f^{(2)} \ll \mu$,

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{E}^{(1)},\mathcal{E}^{(2)}) \leq \sup_{f\in\mathcal{F}}\int_{\mathcal{Y}}\left|rac{d\mathcal{P}_{f}^{(1)}}{d\mu}-rac{d\mathcal{P}_{f}^{(2)}}{d\mu}
ight|d\mu\equiv||\mathcal{P}^{(1)}-\mathcal{P}^{(2)}||_{1,\mu,\mathcal{F}}$$

	· /
nt	1
יא	,

$$\begin{split} \inf_{T^{(1)}} \sup_{f,L:|L|=1} |R^{(1)}(f,T^{(1)},L) - R^{(2)}(f,T^{(2)},L)| \leq \\ \sup_{f,L:|L|=1} |R^{(1)}(f,T^{(2)},L) - R^{(2)}(f,T^{(2)},L)| \\ |R^{(1)}(f,T,L) - R^{(2)}(f,T,L)| \leq \int_{\mathcal{Y}} |L(f,T(Y))| |dP_{f}^{(1)} - dP_{f}^{(2)}| \leq \\ |L|||P^{(1)} - P^{(2)}||_{1,\mu,\mathcal{F}} \end{split}$$

Proposition 2

If we can find a bi-measurable isomorphism B of $Y^{(1)}$ with $Y^{(2)}$, independent of f, such that

$$P_f^{(2)} = P_f^{(1)} \circ B^{-1}, P_f^{(1)} = P_f^{(2)} \circ B,$$

then

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{E}^{(1)},\mathcal{E}^{(2)})=0.$$

Proof of Proposition 2
Let
$$T^{(2)}(Y^{(2)}) \equiv T^{(1)}(B^{-1}(Y^{(2)}))$$

 $R^{(2)}(f, T^{(2)}, L) = \int_{\mathcal{Y}_2} L(f, T^{(1)}(B^{-1}(Y^{(2)}))) dP_f^{(2)} = \int_{\mathcal{Y}_1} L(f, T^{(1)}(Y^{(1)})) dP_f^{(1)}$
 $= R^{(1)}(f, T^{(1)}, L).$

Proposition 3

If there exists a mapping $S:\mathcal{Y}^{(1)}
ightarrow \mathcal{Y}^{(2)}$ independent of f such that

$$Y^{(2)} = S(Y^{(1)}), Y^{(2)} \sim P_f^{(2)}$$

and $S(Y^{(1)})$ is a sufficient statistic for $Y^{(1)}$, then

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{E}^{(1)},\mathcal{E}^{(2)})=0.$$

 $\alpha\text{-}\textit{H\"olderian}$ function

$$\mathcal{F}(\alpha, M) = \left\{ f : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}, \sup_{x \in [0, 1]} |f(x)| + \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|^{\alpha}} \le M \right\}$$
$$0 < \alpha \le 1, 0 < M < \infty$$

Theorem 1.2.1

Let $(\mathcal{E}_n^{(i)} : n \in \mathbb{N}), i = 1, 2, 3$, equal the sequence of statistical experiments given by

$$(i = 1) Y_i = f(x_i) + \epsilon_i, x_i = \frac{i}{n}, \epsilon_i \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} N(0, \sigma^2), i = 1, \dots, n$$
$$(i = 2) dY(t) \equiv dY_f^{(n)}(t) = f(t)dt + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}dW(t), t \in [0, 1], n \in \mathbb{N}$$
$$(i = 3) Y_k \equiv Y_{f,k}^{(n)} = \langle f, e_k \rangle + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}g_k, k \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{N}$$

and $\pi_n(f)$ be the function that interpolates f at the x_i and that is piecewise constant on each interval $(x_{i-1}, x_i] \subset [0, 1]$,

Theorem 1.2.1 (Conti.)

Then, for F any family of bounded functions on [0, 1],

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{E}_n^{(2)},\mathcal{E}_n^{(3)})=0, \Delta_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{E}_n^{(1)},\mathcal{E}_n^{(2)})\leq \sqrt{\frac{n\sigma^2}{2}}\sup_{f\in\mathcal{F}}||f-\pi_n(f)||_2.$$

If $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(\alpha, M)$ for any $\alpha > 1/2, M > 0$, then

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{E}_n^{(1)},\mathcal{E}_n^{(2)}) \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.2.1

$$\begin{split} &\Delta_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{E}_{n}^{(2)},\mathcal{E}_{n}^{(3)})=0 \text{ follows from Proposition 2.} \\ &\phi_{in}:=\mathbf{1}_{(x_{i-1},x_{i}]}, \ \mathcal{V}_{n}:=span\{\phi_{in}:i=1,\ldots,n\}, \ \langle f,g\rangle_{n}:=\sum_{i}f(x_{i})g(x_{i}) \\ &\text{Since } \langle f,\phi_{in}\rangle_{n}=f(x_{i}), \ \pi_{n}(f)(t)=\sum_{i}f(x_{i})\phi_{in}(t) \text{ is } \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{n}\text{- projection of } f \\ &\text{onto } \mathcal{V}_{n}. \\ &Y_{i}=f(x_{i})+\epsilon_{i}, i=1,\ldots,n \text{ is equivalent to} \end{split}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{i}\phi_{in}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_{i})\phi_{in}(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \epsilon_{i}\phi_{in}(t) = \pi_{n}(f)(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \epsilon_{i}\phi_{in}(t) \cdots (1)$$

Let Π_n be $L^2([0,1])$ projector onto \mathcal{V}_n .

$$\int_{0}^{1} h(t) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \epsilon_{i} \phi_{in}(t) dt = \int_{0}^{1} \prod_{n}(h)(t) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \epsilon_{i} \phi_{in}(t) dt \sim N(0, \frac{\sigma^{2}}{n} || \prod_{n}(h) ||_{2}^{2})$$

Proof of Theorem 1.2.1

$$\int_0^1 h(t) \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i \phi_{in}(t) dt = \mathbb{W}(\Pi_n(h))$$

It equals the L^2 -projection of dW onto \mathcal{V}_n , justifying the notation

$$\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}dW_n(t)\equiv\sum_{i=1}^n\epsilon_i\phi_{in}(t)dt, dW_n=\Pi_n(dW)$$

(1) can be rewritten as

$$d\tilde{Y} = \pi_n(f)(t) + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}dW_n(t)\cdots(2)$$

Proof of Theorem 1.2.1

Next, consider the model

$$d\overline{Y} = \pi_n(f)(t) + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}dW(t)\cdots(3),$$

then $d\tilde{Y} = \prod_n (d\bar{Y})$, and $\prod_n (d\bar{Y})$ is sufficient for $d\tilde{Y}$. So, (2) and (3) are equivalent by Proposition 3.

In view of Proposition 1 and using Proposition 6.1.7a) combined with (6.16),

$$\sup_{f\in\mathcal{F}}||P_f^{Y}-P_{\pi_n(f)}^{Y}||_{1,\mu,\mathcal{F}}^2\leq \frac{n}{\sigma^2}\sup_{f\in\mathcal{F}}||f-\pi_n(f)||_2^2$$

which gives second claim.

Proof of Theorem 1.2.1

Finally, uniformly in $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(\alpha, M)$,

$$\begin{aligned} ||f - \pi_n(f)||_2^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{(i-1)/n}^{i/n} (f(x) - f(x_i))^2 dx \le M^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{(i-1)/n}^{i/n} |x - x_i|^{2\alpha} \\ &\le M^2 n^{-2\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{(i-1)/n}^{i/n} dx = O(n^{-2\alpha}) \end{aligned}$$

so for $\alpha > 1/2$, the bound of Le Cam distance converges to zero.